

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	DIST	RICT OF ARIZONA	
UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA	ODDED OF DETENTION DENDING TOWN	
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Rolando Maciel-Sandoval	Case Number: <u>11-01196M-001</u>	
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § was represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on January 18, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
⊠	•	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
×		of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
Ø	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The C	the hearing in this matter, except as no	rial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court ted in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defen		
2.		tions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		ONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections to appeal. The confidence of the United States	defendant is committed to the custody of facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending a opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court be Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: __January 18, 2011__

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge